

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**R.S.A. No.1028 of 2001(O&M)
Decided on: 06.03.2018**

Gopal Dass

.....Appellant

versus

Rajni Bajaj and others

.....Respondents

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: None

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

Vide order dated 08.02.2018, it was ordered that notice be sent to the appellant so as to enable him to engage another counsel to argue the case and further that intimation regarding the next date of hearing be given to the learned counsel for the respondents as well.

Pursuant to the above said order, the office report shows that the notice sent to the appellant has been received back un-served with the report that the appellant has since expired. The notice sent to the learned counsel for the respondents has been received back duly served.

Despite being duly informed, there is no representation by either of the parties.

Otherwise also, in the present case, the dispute was between the father-in-law and his widowed daughter-in-law. Father-in-law had sought mandatory injunction against his widowed daughter-in-law, to vacate the house in which she was residing. The suit was decreed. However, in the appeal, the same was reversed and the suit was ordered to be dismissed.

It appears that, with the passage of time and the death of the father-in-law; the dispute has died its natural death. Therefore, none of the parties have shown any interest in this case and nobody is coming forward to contest the case.

Dismissed as not pressed.

6th March, 2018

shabha

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned - *Yes*
Whether reportable - *No*