

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-37631-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:21.09.2018

Ajay

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Nimanyu Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, APP for U.T., Chandigarh.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.269 dated 27.07.2018 under Sections 392, 411, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 34, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the respondent on instructions of SI Vijay Kumar submitted that petitioner is not involved in any other case and his custodial interrogation is required in view of the recovery of money and important documents with reference to FIR.

Heard.

Perusal of the documents, it is evident that petitioner is not involved in any other case. Question of custodial interrogation for the purpose of recovery of money and important documents have not been specified by the complainant nor the counsel for respondent. In other words, such a contention is very vague. Therefore, petitioner is entitled for anticipatory bail.

Petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation.

In the event of his appearance, Investigation Officer shall release him on interim bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to its entire satisfaction. He shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C

However, the petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall also not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair investigation.

21.09.2018

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No