

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRM-M-37601-2018

Date of Decision: August 29, 2018

Rajinder Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Arjun Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks direction to respondents No. 1 or 2 to register an FIR against Mr. Puneet Kumar Washne, Ex-Senior Manager, Punjab & Sind Bank, District Gurdaspur, for demanding illegal gratification from the petitioner.

The first representation made by the petitioner is dated 31.08.2015 (Anneuxre P-4). As per this representation, Mr. Washne threatened the petitioner on 26.05.2015 and that the complaint was advance notice that in case untoward incident took place, aforementioned Mr. Washne will be responsible for the same. Although learned counsel for the petitioner states that in between reminders have been sent to the Police, none of the same has been placed on record.

The next representation is dated 25.06.2018 (Annexure P-6) in which the allegations of threats by Mr. Washne, have been repeated. It is also mentioned therein that despite directions of the High Court, said Mr. Washne did not act in accordance with the same, causing loss to the petitioner.

A perusal of the record reveals that the petitioner is a rice-miller.

During the crop year 2012-13, there was a dispute between him and PUNGRAIN, resulting in the lodging of the FIR No.73, dated 01.03.2013 against the petitioner. The petitioner has filed civil writ petition in this Court in which interim order was passed. It appears that Sh. Washne allegedly did not comply with the said interim orders and it is also alleged that this resulted in financial loss to the petitioner. The Banker of the Bank also initiated proceedings under SARFAESI Act.

It is therefore evident that the petitioner has filed the present petition urged by a feeling of vendatta. If Mr. Washne had violated the orders of this Court, the remedy available to petitioner was of filing a Contempt Petition against him. No such petition admittedly been filed. On the contrary allegations of threats and harassment have been made against the said official, which were not pursued for the last three years.

Thus, the present petition is motivated and the allegations made thereunder do not appear to be true.

For the aforementioned reasons, the petition is dismissed.

August 29, 2018
sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No