

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-36614-2017
Date of decision: 27.04.2018**

Gaurav Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Raman Mohinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A. S. Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 129 dated 10.09.2014, registered under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station City, Dhuri (Annexure) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom including order dated 01.11.2016 (Annexure P-3) by which the petitioner was declared absconder; in view of the compromise dated 05.09.2017 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

The marriage of respondent No. 2 was solemnized on 15.02.2013 with the petitioner herein. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, matrimonial dispute arose and the aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No. 2 Meena Rani. However, now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute has been

amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a compromise. In fact, the parties decided to part ways and a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from SDJM at Dhuri, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

Mr. A. S. Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme

Court in *Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 129 dated 10.09.2014, registered under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station City, Dhuri (Annexure) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom including order dated 01.11.2016 (Annexure P-3) by which the petitioner was declared absconder; are quashed qua the petitioner herein.

The petition stands disposed of.

27.04.2018
Waseem Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No