

264**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-36488-2017****Date of decision:- 25.05.2018**

Palwinder Singh and another

...Petitioners

VS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWALPresent : Mr.Ritesh Pandey, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.219 dated 19.07.2006 under Sections 420/465/467/468/471/120-B IPC registered at Police Station City Batala, District Batala, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR is an outcome of a monetary dispute which has been resolved and the matter has been compromised.

This Court, by order dated 29.09.2017, had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala, has sent his report dated 16.10.2017, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties, which has now been resolved.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" 2014 (6) SCC 466", no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 219 dated 19.07.2006 under Sections 420/465/467/468/471/120-B IPC registered at Police Station City Batala, District Batala, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

25th May, 2018
shabha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes /No
Whether reportable : Yes /No