

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI  
22.11.2018 16:10:46  
I attest to the accuracy and  
integrity of this document

CRM-M-37396-2018

Date of Decision: 15.11.2018

Iqbal Singh Sandhu

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present: Mr. Vinod Ghai, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Deepanshu Mehta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.P.S.Sidhu, Advocate  
for the complainant.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN,J**

The petitioner has been granted interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 29.08.2018. The relevant part of the said order is reproduced as under:-

*“Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as per the allegation in the FIR, there was a money dispute between the petitioner and deceased – Harnek Singh, the husband of the complainant. It is further submitted that, in fact, there was a compromise between the petitioner and the deceased – Harnek Singh (Annexure P2) on 02.06.2017, in which the petitioner had agreed to clear the loan amount and had paid an amount of Rs.10 lacs as per the two receipts executed by him.*

*Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has further argued that, in fact, one of the co-accused namely Bant Singh son of Bishan Singh, had given complaint against the deceased, which was made to the Police Authorities and as per the enquiry conducted by the police, it was reported that Harnek Singh was dealing in property business and had to pay certain amount to co-accused Bant Singh. It is further*

***submitted that the co-accused of the petitioner namely Harnek Singh son of Bant Singh, Bant Singh son of Bishan Singh, Harmeet Singh son of Bahadar Singh and Bahadar Singh son of Bant Singh, have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 10.08.2018 and anticipatory bail of the petitioner has been dismissed only on the premise that the name of the petitioner find mention in the suicide note. It is also submitted that there is no evidence that immediately before committing the suicide by Harnek Singh, the petitioner abetted him in a manner which compelled him to commit suicide.***

***Notice of motion for 23.10.2018.”***

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Satwinder Singh and assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

In view of the same, the order dated 29.08.2018 is made absolute. However, it will be open for the prosecution to apply for cancellation of bail in case the petitioner is found misusing the concession of bail.

Disposed of.

15.11.2018  
smriti

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No