

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CRM-M-37348-2018

Date of Decision: August 29, 2018

Ranjit

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr.Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.90, dated 12.05.2016, registered at Police Station Kapurthala City, District Kapurthala, under sections 3/4/5 of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956.

2. The allegations against the petitioner are of trafficking in human beings and making them indulge in prostitution.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR is of the year 2016 and the Police did not make any attempt to arrest him for the last two years, but now, it is raiding the house of the petitioner. The petitioner has been falsely implicated because the complainant has left the petitioner in the year 2015 as is evident from Panchayati Divorce (Annexure P-2).

4. Even if the Panchayati Divorce (Annexure P-2) is taken into consideration, it cannot be inferred that the present FIR is motivated. It is possible that the complainant left the petitioner because of the allegations made in the FIR. Trafficking in human beings and making them indulge in prostitution is a very serious offence and I see no reason why the petitioner be granted the concession of anticipatory bail

5. Thus, the petition is dismissed.

August 29, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No