

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.37327 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.10.2018.**

M/s Pal Infrastructure and Developers Pvt. Ltd. and others
...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Muthreja, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vinod K. Kanwal, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI J. (ORAL)

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.0032 dated 29.01.2018 for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Bhupani, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all the proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 31.07.2018 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Subhash Chander Chhabra son of Sh. Kishan Chand Chhabra. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Vide order dated 29.08.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded that the compromise is genuine, voluntary, without any threat or pressure.

Counsel for the State as well as counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners and respondent Nos.2 and 3 have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed and FIR No.0032 dated 29.01.2018 for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 'IPC, registered at Police Station Bhupani, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all the proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise stand quashed qua the petitioners.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

31.10.2018
rekha sharma

whether speaking/reasoned
whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No