

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.36365 of 2017
Date of Decision: 19.03.2018**

Madan Lal

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:None.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Perusal of the record reveals that after filing of the present petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has not argued the case despite five adjournments. On 23.02.2018, last opportunity was granted to the learned counsel for the petitioner to address arguments. When the case was taken up in the morning, Mr. Azam Khan, Advocate for Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the petitioner appeared and made a request for adjournment. The said request was not entertained and the case was adjourned for after lunch in order to enable the counsel to address arguments in view of last opportunity granted on 23.02.2018.

When the case is taken up for hearing in the after lunch session, none has appeared despite repeated calls. It appears that petitioner is not interested in pursuing this case.

In view of above, present petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

March 19, 2018
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No