

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3727-2018

Date of Decision:02.02.2018

Anil Kumar @ Sonu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Navmohit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the car of the complainant which is stated to have been taken from him by the petitioner and his co-accused(without any of the accused named by the complainant), was not recovered from the petitioner and he has already been in custody for the past more than 3 ½ months as per the custody certificate filed in Court today by learned State counsel.

Learned State counsel of course does not deny the period of custody and on query submits that the challan has just been presented, with 12 witnesses still to be examined. It is also not denied that there is no other criminal case registered against him.

In the aforesaid circumstances, without commenting on the actual involvement/non-involvement of the petitioner, which is to be seen by

the trial Court, I consider it appropriate to admit him to bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Narnaul.

Disposed of.

February 02, 2018

dharamvir

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: YES/NO
Whether Reportable	: YES/NO