

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3720 of 2018
Date of Decision: 30.01.2018**

Sukhiya Devi and others

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Ms. Arti, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in this petition is for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect lives, liberties and properties of the petitioners from being invaded by the private respondents on the ground that State of Haryana has issued action plan for the protection of life and property of the senior citizens. Petitioners No.1 and 2 are senior citizens and their lives, liberties and properties are at stake.

Precisely, for the grievance in question, petitioners have also filed number of representations to the authorities in hierarchy including the Commissioner of Police, Sector 21-C, Faridabad on 09.10.2017

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. S.P. Singh, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let requisite copies of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon any reply from respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the nature of alleged offence, respondent No.2 can be directed to have a fair look on the representation filed by the petitioners. If any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then in such an eventuality, respondent No.3 can be asked to act in accordance with law. If no cognizable offence is found to have been committed by the private respondents, then the complainants/petitioners be informed as per parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

January 30, 2018
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No