

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37077-2018

Date of Decision: 28.08.2018

Madan Lal @ Sonu

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate
for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.398 dated 01.08.2018 registered under Section 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 506 IPC at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonipat.

2. There are serious allegations against the petitioner to the extent that he was harassing his friend's wife namely complainant by sending filthy messages and also threatening to the complainant as well as to her husband. Further petitioner was pressurizing the complainant for compromise. Police has to verify the fact relating to sending of message, recovery of mobile phone and other facts from the accused. Therefore, custodial interrogation is necessary in this case.

3. In view of the above facts and circumstances, petitioner is not entitled to anticipatory bail.

4. Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

28.08.2018
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No