

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3699 of 2018
Date of Decision: 30.01.2018**

Harbans Kaur

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. D.R. Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 and 2 to act in furtherance of protecting life and liberty of the petitioner who is an old lady and has not been allowed to enter her village and house.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that in respect of commission of cognizable offence, a representation was filed before respondent No.1 with a copy to respondent No.2 on 29.12.2017.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let

requisite copies of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon any reply from respondents No.1 and 2.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the nature of alleged offence, respondent No.1 can be directed to have a fair look on the representation filed by the petitioner. If any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then in such an eventuality, respondent No.2 can be asked to act in accordance with law. If no cognizable offence is found to have been committed by the private respondents, then the complainant/petitioner be informed as per parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

January 30, 2018
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No