

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-36956-2018

Date of Decision: 31.08.2018

Navesh Kumar

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajendra Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

...

Inderjit Singh, J.

Petitioner Navesh Kumar has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.1024 dated 31.10.2017, registered at Police Station Saran, District Faridabad, under Sections 450/467/468/471/201/120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the head note of the petition, inadvertently Section 450 IPC has been typed instead of Section 420 IPC. He orally requests that it may be read as 420 IPC.

Request allowed. Section 450 IPC be read as Section 420 IPC.
Registry to make necessary correction accordingly.

Notice of motion has been issued.

Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

The present petitioner is not named in the FIR. The allegation in the FIR is that complainant Sanjeev Bhatia had applied for Customer Service Point for doing the work on line and had deposited ₹4,54,200/- on different dates in the bank accounts of so called Account Managers of the company, namely, Kunal Roy, Subhash Kumar, etc. The present petitioner is stated to be brother of Subhash Kumar.

The petitioner has been in custody since 25.05.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. All the offences are triable by the Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

31.08.2018
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No