

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36948-2018(O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.09.2018

Raju @ Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel filing reply by way of affidavit of Sh.
Deepak Sharma, Superintendent, District Prison, Faridabad contends that the
instant petition has become infructuous in view of appraisal to the action of
respondent No. 3 qua the alleged incident dated 28.05.2018 in jail premises
by learned District and Sessions Judge, Faridabad vide order No.
1440/J.2 (i) dated 06.02.2018.

Learned District and Sessions Judge, Faridabad after appraisal of
the conduct of respondent No. 3 has observed that the action taken by
Superintendent, District Jail, Faridabad was not disproportionate to the
allegations levelled against respondents No. 4 and 5.

Therefore, this petition is dismissed as infructuous.

28th September, 2018

shabha

[RAMENDRA JAIN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No