

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Crl. Misc. No. M- 36927 of 2018

Date of Decision: October 25, 2018

Puneet Nijhawan and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Amit Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG., Punjab

Mr. Rajdeep Singh Chugh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 120 dated 23.12.2017 registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, Police Station Women Cell, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the compromise is genuine, out their free will and without any pressure or coercion.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent No. 2 is the only aggrieved

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioner.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

October 25, 2018
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No