

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-36847-2018
Date of decision: 10.10.2018**

Jagjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Gurvinder Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 63 dated 26.06.2017, registered under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Sections 17/18/19/20 of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 at Police Station Bajakhana, District Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits previously the petitioner was involved in another FIR No. 193 dated 17.12.2016 with the allegations that Jagtar Singh @ Jaggi and Taljit Singh @ Jimmi had sent an amount of £1000 (one thousand pound) from England to petitioner and the petitioner had given the same to one Tarlok Singh @ Laddi for the purpose of purchasing a weapon in order to commit the crime in which a person was murdered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that aforesaid Tarlok Singh @ Laddi, who was accused in FIR No. 51 dated 13.06.2016, under Sections 302/34 IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act,

already stands discharged in the said FIR and on that account, the petitioner was granted regular bail by this Court in aforesaid FIR No. 193, vide order dated 24.04.2016 passed in **CRM-M-15443-2018**.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present FIR, co-accused Gurpreet was arrested and recovery of a country made revolver along with five bullets were effected from him and on his disclosure, the petitioner is named in the present FIR and no recovery was effected from the petitioner. It is further submitted that co-accused Gurpreet has already been released on regular bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Harwinder Singh, has not disputed the factual position.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the facts that the petitioner is on regular on aforesaid FIR No. 193 dated 17.12.2016; he was named in the present FIR on the disclosure of co-accused Gurpreet who has already been granted regular bail; petitioner is in judicial custody since 07.02.2018 and also considering the fact that challan has been presented and conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

October 10, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No