

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-36792-2018**

**Date of Decision: 30.08.2018**

Sunil alias Sunil Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, A.A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J**

Petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.0068 dated 30.03.2018, registered under Sections 363, 366-A, 376, 506 and 120-B of IPC and Section 4 of POCSO Act at Police Station Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2018 and his name does not figure in the FIR and the only role given to him is that he had provided the motorcycle and his name was given by Ramesh with whom the girl had run away. Counsel states that the petitioner is related to Ramesh.

No allegations of rape have been levelled against the petitioner. The petitioner was named by Ramesh. In the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., the prosecutrix had stated that the petitioner was standing outside the room when she was raped by Ramesh.

Challan has already been presented. The trial would take time. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing surety and adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**August 30, 2018**  
*ps-I*

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |