

**CRM-M-35843-2017 and
CRM-M-43781-2017**

Nitin
2018.01.16 13:07
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-35843-2017
Date of Decision: 12.01.2018**

Munish Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CRM-M-43781-2017

Harish Kumar

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jagvinder Singh Santwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-35843-2017).

Mr. Deepam Raghava, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-43781-2017).

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, D.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the police official who is present in Court today to assist him, submits that the tablets of Lomotil stated to have been recovered from the two petitioners in these two petitions, from the poly bags carried by each of them, are of non-commercial quantity as regards recovery from each particular bag.

**CRM-M-35843-2017 and
CRM-M-43781-2017**

Nitin
2018.01.16 13:07
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Though if seen with the fact that the petitioners are stated to have been apprehended together and the recovery is to be taken as a “composite recovery”, the total quantity recovered would be commercial quantity, however, since there is also stated to be no other criminal case (s) registered against the petitioners, and they already having been admitted to interim bail by this Court, the order dated 30.10.2017 passed in CRM-M-35843 of 2017, and the order dated 27.11.2017 passed in CRM-M-43781-2017, are both made absolute.

The petitions stand disposed of, accordingly.

January 12, 2018
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.