

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36771-2018

Date of Decision: 30.08.2018

Sandeep

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. H.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

Petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.0487 dated 06.04.2018, registered under Sections 365, 328, 376 and 216 of IPC at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the crime scene report which has been placed on record, it is mentioned that Sandeep did not commit rape upon the girl but he had arranged the shelter and food for Kuldeep and the victim. Counsel further submits that the girl was 18 years old and was major and they had stayed together for 13 days and there was exchange of calls between victim and Kuldeep. Counsel states that Section 376 IPC had been deleted *qua* the petitioner and the allegations against him are under Section 201 IPC.

The police had found during investigation that there was several call exchanged by the victim with Kuldeep. Before the Medical Officer, the victim had stated that she was raped by Kuldeep. No allegations of rape were levelled against the petitioner there. Challan has already been presented.

The trial would take time. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing surety and adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate with a condition that the petitioner would not contact the complainant's family.

August 30, 2018
ps-I

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No