

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.36743 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.11.2018**

Rajwinder Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Ms. Samina Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed praying for protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, in view of the marriage solemnized by them against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 9.

Reply by way of affidavit dated 02.11.2018 of Sh. Harjinder Singh Gill, PPS, ACP, Industrial Area-A, Ludhiana on behalf of State, in compliance of order dated 24.08.2018, has been filed. The same is taken on record and the relevant part of which reads as under:-

“ That in compliance to the said order of this Hon'ble Court, it is respectfully submitted that ASI Jaswinder Singh of P.S. Jamalpur, Ludhiana was deputed to verify the allegations made by the petitioners in their representation dated 21.08.2018 Annexure P-5. Accordingly the said ASI contacted the petitioners during which the petitioners made their statements that they had love affair with each other which was objected by the private respondents and ultimately the petitioners performed their marriage with each other and filed the present petitioner apprehending danger from the private respondents. However,

now the petitioners are residing happily as husband and wife and they have absolutely no danger from anybody nor they want any police protection. Statement of petitioner no.1 is attached as Annexure P-1 and Statement of petitioner no.2 is attached a Annexure P-3. During investigation ASI Jaswinder Singh contacted father of petitioner no.1 Rajwinder Kaur named Jarnail Singh. Jarnail Singh gave his affidavit stating that he has disowned his daughter Rajwinder Kaur and he has no concern with her life. Copy of affidavit of Jarnail Singh is attached as Annexure P-5. ”

In view of above, the present petition is disposed off as having been rendered infructuous.

However, it is made clear that in future if there is any apprehension of threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners then they are at liberty to approach this Court again.

November 02, 2018

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Gagan

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No