

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CRM-M-36715-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 27.08.2018

Satish and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioners seek anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.77 dated 17.02.2015 under Section 3/8 of Cow Slaughtering Act, 1955 and 11 of Prevention of Cruelty to Animal Act, 1960 registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners had the benefit of bail and had violated the bail order on 02.08.2018. Petitioners application for anticipatory bail has been dismissed with reference to events like declaring proclaimed offender, having regard to the fact that from 16.12.2016, petitioners are violating the order as well as remained absent from the court. Therefore, rightly sessions court has dismissed anticipatory bail application of the petitioners on 02.08.2018. In view of these facts and circumstances, petitioners are permitted to appear before the trial court and move necessary bail application. If any bail application is filed the court is requested to pass appropriate order at the earliest.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

27.08.2018

pooja saini

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No