

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

242

CRM-M-35590-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 01.11.2018

Kavya Khurana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Veneet K.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner has sought for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family which is in imminent danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and to issue direction to official respondents to take legal action against respondents No.4 to 7 for demanding extortion of Rs.80 Lacs from family of petitioner and forcing husband of petitioner to allow respondent no.5 to work in his franchisee.

2. Learned State counsel on instructions of ASI Sohan Lal submitted that reply statement has been filed wherein it is stated that inquiry has been conducted and grievance of the petitioner is considered and it is filed. If the copy of the reply relating to inquiry is not furnished to the petitioner in that event concerned official respondent is directed to furnish copy of the inquiry report forthwith.

3. With the above observation, petition stands disposed of. Reserving liberty to the petitioner if she is still aggrieved by the inquiry report. She is permitted to challenge the same by filing independent petition, in accordance with law.
4. In view of the disposal of the main petition, pending CRMs if any, be treated as disposed of.

01.11.2018

pooja saini

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No