

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3650-2018 (O&M)  
Date of Decision :- 23.04.2018

**Pritam Singh and others**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

**Present:-** Mr. Saleem Malik, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rakeshinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rimple Saini, Advocate,  
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*

**H.S. MADAAN, J. (ORAL)**

Petitioners – Pritam Singh and others have brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of summoning order dated 07.01.2016 under Sections 326, 324, 323, 452, 354 and 34 IPC in criminal complaint No.36 of 24.02.2014 (cross case against FIR No. 9 dated 23.01.2014, for offences under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 of IPC, Section 326 IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Ghuman, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur), against them, alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between them and complainants Sandeep Singh and Komalpreet Kaur - arrayed as respondents No.2 and 3.

When the petition came up for hearing on 29.01.2018, notice of motion was ordered to be issued for today. The respondent No. 1 - State of Punjab through State counsel, whereas Mr. Rimple Saini, Advocate, had put in

appearance for respondents No.2 and 3. Then in light of the contention that parties have since effected compromise, they were directed to put in appearance before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court.

Report has been received from Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Batala, through District and Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, in terms of which complainants Sandeep Singh and Komalpreet Kaur and accused, namely, Pritam Singh, Mangal Singh, Balwinder Singh and Baljit Kaur had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, with free will, without any pressure, threat or undue influence. Further complainants have stated that they have no objection if the FIR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. It has been reported that no accused has been declared proclaimed offender in the FIR in question. Alongwith the report statement of the complainant and all the accused, in original, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned State counsel, besides going through the record.

The dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others** 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, where in para 28, it has been held as under :-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of

justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is “*finest hour of justice*”.”

It has been observed that High Court has power to quash prosecution in order to achieve ends of justice and to prevent abuse of process of law. Though such powers are unlimited but those are to be exercised sparingly and with utmost care and caution. Though there is no statutory bar which can effect the inherent power of High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the FIR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the abovesaid FIR alongwith ancillary proceedings are hereby quashed.

(H.S. MADAAN)  
JUDGE

23.04.2018  
Dinesh

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |