

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36392-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 30.11.2018

Sonia Walia and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate
for complainant.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends a demand draft No. 225559 dated 17.9.2018 amounting to Rs. 1.00 lac has been deposited before the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court in terms of order dated 14.9.2018. Photocopy of the same, produced in Court today, is taken on record.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surjit Kumar, submits that petitioner has joined the investigation and no longer required by the police. Police has no objection if the petitioner is enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Considering the fact that petitioner is no more required for further investigation, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 24.8.2018 is made absolute.

On the other hand, learned counsel for complainant submits that amount of Rs. 1.00 lac deposited by the petitioner before the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court be released to the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection if the aforesaid amount is released in favour of the complainant subject to final outcome of the trial.

It being so, demand draft of Rs. 1.00 lac, deposited by the petitioner is ordered to be released in favour of the complainant subject to final outcome of the trial.

Petition stands allowed accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

30.11.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No