

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

292

CRM-M-35339-2017

DATE OF DECISION :- January 12, 2018

JYOTI AND ANR

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

**Present: Ms. Paramjit Kaur Deol, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

Mr. Rakeshinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

It is stated that the petitioners are not married but having live-in relationship. Though, they apprehended threats at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.

Learned State counsel submits that both the petitioners have given in writing to the police that they do not require any security.

The petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2, Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar to examine the matter as to whether there is any threat perception to the petitioners and if, it is so, then, suitable action be taken as warranted by the circumstances.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

January 12, 2018

neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No