

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.35155 of 2017
Date of Decision : 12.01.2018**

Surjit Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Anantdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Maninder S. Bajwa, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in Rapat No.26 dated 6th July, 2017 under Sections 323, 324, 427, 148, 149 of the IPC in F.I.R No.54, dated 3rd July, 2017, under Sections 323, 324, 506, 427, 148, 149 of the IPC (Section 326 of the IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now the complainant and other injured/victims have arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld.

Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ajnala, vide report dated 22nd December, 2017 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondents No. 2 to 4 are represented by their counsel and do not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ajnala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant and other injured/victims have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. Rapat No.26 dated 6th July, 2017 under Sections 323, 324, 427, 148, 149 of the IPC in F.I.R No.54, dated 3rd July, 2017, under Sections 323, 324, 506, 427, 148, 149 of the IPC (Section 326 of the IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

January 12, 2018

Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No