

**Crl. Misc. No.M-36001 of 2016 (O&M)**

**1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-36001 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 01.03.2018**

**Kabal Singh**

**.....Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and another**

**...Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

Present: Mr. Hemant Saini, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Karmanjot Kaur, Advocate  
for Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate  
for respondent no.2.

\*\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.**

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 71 dated 28.08.2016, registered under Sections 498-A 406, 323 IPC, Police Station Sri Hargobindpur, District Gurdaspur.

Counsel for the petitioner says that matter had been settled before the Mediator.

The counsel appearing for respondent no.2 sought a pass over. On second pass over, the same request has been received again.

Counsel for the petitioner had contended that a sum of Rs.1 lac had been paid in the Mediation Centre, which is noted in the order.

**Crl. Misc. No.M-36001 of 2016 (O&M)**

**2**

The parties have arrived at a settlement. This petition is pending since 2016. Since the matter had been settled and the parties have signed the settlement, it is for the parties now to take the next step as agreed by them in the settlement dated 27.11.2017.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 06.10.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

01.03.2018  
sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No