

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-36064 of 2018

Date of Decision: August 23, 2018

Lakhbir Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rohit Kapoor, Advocate and
Ms. Harmandeep Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks issuance of directions to respondent No. 2 to
consign to record the complaint dated 06.10.2017 filed by respondent No. 3.

The allegations in the petition are that to coerce the petitioner into
withdrawal of his suit for specific performance, respondent No. 3 filed a complaint
dated 15.09.2017 (Annexure P-2), which was filed by the Superintendent of Police,
Tarn Taran. Thereafter, an identical complaint had been filed before respondent No.
2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar. Despite representations dated
30.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) and 26.12.2017 (Annexure P-5), no action has been
taken thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 and 2 only.

Mr. J.S. Walia, Sr. DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of
respondents No. 1 and 2. Let three copies of the petition be supplied to him during
the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity
to issue notice to respondent No. 3.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2

to objectively consider the representations Annexure P-4 and P-5 and to take

appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is so felt necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

August 23, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No