

**CWP No.11211-2007 (O&M)
Date of Decision:31.10.2018**

MANISHA AND ORS.

..... Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. D. Khanna Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. R.K. Hooda, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

.....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA,J.(ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed raising a challenge to the order dated 17.07.2007 (Annexure P-7) passed by Manager Sainik Parivar Bhawan, Haryana/respondent No.3, which is in the nature of response to the legal notice which had been served by the petitioners.

Pleadings on record would indicate that respondent No.2 i.e. Rajaya Sainik Board, Haryana was running certain professional courses for the war widows, widows, disabled ex-serviceman and surviving defence personnel belonging to the State of Haryana with the sole objective to arm them with a certificate/education courses being run otherwise under the aegis of the National Council for Vocational Training, New Delhi.

Precise contention raised in the petition is that the petitioners have been denied the chance to appear in the examination which would culminate in the issuance of NCVT certificate (National Courses for Vocational Training). Petitioners asserted that to the contrary they are being permitted only for examination pertaining to the State Certificate of Vocational Training (SCVT).

Instant petition was filed in the year 2007. Reply has been filed

on behalf of respondent No.2. Precise stand taken by respondent No.2 is that the State Rajaya Sainik Board did not have any approval from the Competent Authority i.e. the National Council for Vocational Training to conduct the examination pertaining to NCVT certificate. Counsel has also referred to Annexure R-1 appended along with reply which relates to the admission of the candidates for the Session 2006-2007 pertaining to the SCVT certificate. Counsel for respondent No.2 asserts on the strength of Annexure R-1 that the petitioners had been admitted only to the SCVT course and not the NCVT certificate course. Such assertion is not rebutted by counsel for petitioners.

Learned counsel representing respondent No.2 upon instructions from Balraj Singh, Clerk Sainik Parivar Bhawan, Haryana has informed that the certificate courses both for NCVT and SCVT have since been discontinued.

In view of the above, nothing survives for adjudication in the instant petition and the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

31.10.2018

Ali

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No