

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-35753 OF 2018 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 24th AUGUST, 2018

Lalit & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidharth Sanwaria, DAG Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

This is second petition filed by the petitioners seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 232 dated 08.06.2016 registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 452 & 506 IPC (Sections 325, 326 and 307 IPC added later on), at Police Station Pataudi, District Gurgaon.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

No new ground is made out for consideration of this second petition for anticipatory bail. The compromise attached with the petition also does not show as to whether the victim was compensated or not as observed by this Court in its order while dismissing the earlier petition for anticipatory bail.

In that view of the matter, prima facie, no case is made out for grant of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the second petition for

anticipatory bail is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioners to apply for regular bail.

24TH AUGUST, 2018
‘raj’

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE