

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.214

CRM-M-3573-2018

Date of decision : 01.03.2018

Sumit @ Sandeep

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Shilak Ram Hooda, Advocate with
Mr. Badal Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.344 dated 21.04.2016, registered at Police Station City Hisar, under Sections 323, 452, 302 and 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is attributed a brick-bat blow, whereas a danda has been effected from him. Thus, the story of the prosecution appears to be improbable. He further submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 21.04.2016 and that the investigation in this case is complete. The completion of trial will take some time and no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that a murder has been committed in a gruesome manner and therefore, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail. He further submits that the petitioner had common intention with co-accused to murder the deceased and therefore, particular role is not relevant. Regarding the stage of the trial,

it is submitted that trial is almost complete as fourteen out of eighteen prosecution witnesses stand examined.

Keeping in view the totality of the facts and circumstances of this case, I deem it appropriate to reject the prayer of the petitioner for grant of regular bail.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

However, the learned trial Court is directed to complete the trial as expeditiously as possible and in any case not later than three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

01.03.2018

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No