

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Misc. M – 35683 of 2018

Date of decision: 31.08.2018

Sonu

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail to the petitioner-herein in FIR No. 13 dated 29.01.2018 under Sections 363, 366-A, 376, 506 IPC and Section 4 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Satnali, District Mohindergarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-herein contends that there is no allegation qua the petitioner in the said FIR and even in the statement that has been recorded of the prosecutrix, she has not named the petitioner. In the statement that has been recorded, she has said that no wrong act has been done by the petitioner. Even in the statement recorded during trial she has not supported the version of the prosecution.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the fact that the prosecutrix has not supported the version as set up by the prosecution.

In view of the above and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

August 31, 2018
tripti

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No