

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**Crl. Misc. M – 35661 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.08.2018**

Rajiv Patel

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Ms. Sheenu Sura, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail to the petitioner-herein in FIR No. 355 dated 04.12.2017 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 313/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, Sector – 51, Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-herein contends that Section 313 has been deleted during investigation and that the petitioner has been in custody since 20.07.2018. It is also argued that as the matter has been investigated and the challan has been presented, any further custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required.

Per contra, learned State counsel opposes the prayer for regular bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the fact that the challan has been presented; the petitioner is in custody since 20.07.2018, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars. At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

August 31, 2018
tripti

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No