

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3566 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:09.03.2018

Sukhdev Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr.Parvesh Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG., Punjab.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

Petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.205 dated 01.11.2017, under Sections 363, 366-A, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

It is submitted that no offence punishable under Sections 363, 366-A, 34 IPC, is made out against the petitioner as the petitioner and the complainant's daughter solemnized marriage on 23.11.2017. They were afforded protection by this Court vide order dated 01.12.2017 in CRM-M-45785 of 2017. It is reiterated that the petitioner and the complainant's daughter are living together in matrimonial home after solemnization of marriage. The petitioner has joined investigation. It is thus prayed that this petition be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions ASI Tirlok, verifies that the petitioner has joined investigation. It is affirmed that the marriage was solemnized by the petitioner with the complainant's daughter and both of them are living together in the matrimonial home, though, it is submitted that the complainant's daughter is a minor aged about 17 years.

The petitioner is not reported to be involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 30.01.2018 is made absolute.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

09.03.2018
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No.