

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

101

CRM No.M-35469 of 2018
Date of decision:18.8.2018

Manjit Singh @ Nikka

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.F.S.Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since a petition seeking quashing of the FIR registered against the petitioner has been filed, this second petition seeking anticipatory bail has now been filed by the petitioner, even after the previous petition (CRM-M-6346 of 2018) filed by him was dismissed by this Court on 1.3.2018.

Having seen that order, it is very clearly stated therein that this Court was not at all convinced that the petitioner was entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

However, obviously, while dismissing that petition, it was observed that all observations made would only be taken in the context of the petition seeking anticipatory bail and not on the merits of the case for or against the petitioner, (which would naturally be gone into by the investigating agency and the competent Court at the relevant time).

The petitioner obviously not having been arrested for the last six months, I in fact do not see the reason for the filing of this petition.

With the same observations, as also made in the previous
petition, this petition is also dismissed.

18.8.2018
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No