

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.34462 of 2017
Date of Decision: 07.03.2018

Manjit Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for respondent Nos.3 to 5.

H.S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.3 to 5 states that he has not to file separate reply and he adopts reply filed by the official respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if direction is issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to look into his grievance and make suitable measures for his security.

Under such circumstances, the petition is disposed of directing the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to examine

CRM-M No.34462 of 2017

threat perception to the petitioner and if he found that taking of any action is warranted, then needful be done as per law, at the earliest.

[H.S MADAAN]
JUDGE

March 07, 2018
sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No