

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-35352 of 2018

Date of Decision: August 17, 2018

Tulsa Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is allegedly in a dispute with respondents No. 4 to 10 regarding some land. As a result whereof, they have been allegedly visited his house and threatening him and his family members. Despite representations, local police has not taken any action to safeguard his life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 and 2 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2. Let three copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No. 3 to 10.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation dated 06.06.2018 (Annexure P-2) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is so felt necessary, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

August 17, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**