

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-34298 of 2017

Date of decision : 06.02.2018

Ravi

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana

...Respondent

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate for

Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the complainant.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Learned State counsel submits that material prosecution witnesses have been examined. Prosecution would not delay the matter in any manner. It would endeavor to conclude its evidence at the earliest.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to file afresh in case trial does not conclude within a period of three months.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

February 06, 2018

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No