

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SMRITI
20.08.21 16:12
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-35118-2016

Date of Decision: 20.08.2018

Harinder Singh @ Jaggi and Anr

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. A.S.Dhindsa, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 27.01.2015 (Annexure P3) passed by the trial Court, vide which, the petitioners were summoned as an additional accused while allowing the application filed under Section 319 Cr.P.C., in FIR No. 25, dated 14.01.2009, under Sections 323/324/506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Patiala, as well as the order dated 17.07.2015 (Annexure P2) vide which the revision filed by the petitioners was dismissed by the Court of Sessions.

Reply by way of affidavit of DSP (Rural), Patiala, is already on record and as per the same, the petitioners were summoned after recording the statement of complainant who appeared as PW1.

Learned State counsel submits that the trial in the case is going on and the prosecution evidence is being recorded.

In view of the same, the counsel for the petitioners submits that this petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, at this stage, with liberty to the petitioners to raise all the pleas/defences during the course of trial.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

20.08.2018
smriti

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether Reportable : Yes/No