

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

**CRM-M No. 35130 of 2018
Decided on : 16.8.2018**

Priyanka Rani and another**.....Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents****Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT****Present: Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioner**

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners seeking protection of their life and liberty by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority, have married each other against the wishes of their respective family members/respondents No. 4 and 5 and so seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They submitted a representation (Annexure P-4) in this regard to the **Superintendent of Police, Ambala** on 13.8.2018, but are still apprehensive about their security in view of the apparent inaction and alleged clout of their family members/respondents.

Both of them do appear to have crossed the age of majority as seen from the copies of documents filed and have married each other, in support of which photographs (Annexures P-3) have been placed on record. For the aforesaid reasons, this appears to be a fit case for this Court to invoke the inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. and in view of the mandate contained in Article 21 of the Constitution of India to protect the citizen's right to life and liberty.

Thus, the Superintendent of Police, Ambala is directed to consider the representation dated 13.8.2018 (Annexure-P-4) and take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is nevertheless clarified that this order is issued only on the premise that the petitioners have crossed the age of majority as seen from the documents placed on record being **Aadhaar Cards** of petitioners No.1 and 2 (Annexures **P-1 and P-2**). This would not *ipso facto* amount to granting any seal of approval on the legality of their marriage which essentially would come in the domain of the concerned Matrimonial Courts. Further, they would not be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

The petition is disposed of with the above direction.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

16.8.2018

Ashwani

Reportable/Reasoned : Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking : Yes/No