

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3513-2018**  
**Date of decision: 20.03.2018**

**Abhisek**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, learned DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsy, Advocate  
for respondent No. 2.

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 319 dated 25.05.2014, registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 323 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Narnaud, District Hisar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 10.01.2018 entered into between the parties.

The marriage of respondent No. 2 was solemnized on 21.02.2014 with the petitioner herein as per Hindu rites and rituals. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, matrimonial dispute arose and the aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No. 2 Pinki. However, now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a

compromise. In fact, the parties have decided to part ways by way of getting a decree of divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from JMIC at Hansi, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

Reply, filed by the respondent-State, is taken on record. Mr. P.P. Chahar, learned DAG, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6*

**SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 319 dated 25.05.2014, registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 323 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Narnaud, District Hisar and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner herein.

The petition stands disposed of.

**20.03.2018**

*Waseem Ansari*

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned*  
*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*