

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34192 of 2017
Date of Decision: 10.08.2018

Rahul Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate,

for Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate, for respondents No.5 and 6.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Baldev Singh, submits that instant petition has rendered infructuous in view of compromise between the parties.

Proxy counsel for respondents No.5 and 6 has produced affidavit of Rahul Sharma testifying that he does not want any action against the petitioner.

In view of above, petition is dismissed as having become infructuous and for want of prosecution as well.

August 10, 2018

R.S.

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No