

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34991 of 2018.
Decided on:-September 14th, 2018.

PARVEEN DHAWAN AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners.

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Bikramjit Aroua, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

*

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to the respondents No.1, 2 and 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 6 to 13.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of private-respondents and therefore they appended a threat to their life.

Mr. Prabhjeet Singh Sullar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents No. 6 to 13 and filed his Vakalatnama. The same is taken on record.

At this stage counsel appearing for respondents No. 6 to 13 states that they have already disowned petitioner No.2 by way of a dis-inheritance deed dated 25.08.2018. The allegations that they are threatening the petitioners are totally mis-conceived. Petitioner No.2 has taken away certain gold articles and money from the house of respondents for which a DDR has been registered against the petitioner No.2. He further states that respondents No. 6 to 13 have never threatened the petitioners.

Be that as it may, the present petition has been filed only to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 6 to 13 and noticing the fact that counsel for the respondents has stated that they have not threatened the petitioners, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and same is accordingly disposed of at this stage.

It is made clear that the present petition is disposed of without commenting upon the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

However, in case petitioners still apprehend threat to their lives and liberty, they are at liberty to approach the SSP concerned. Similarly the respondents can avail their remedy(s) as available to them in accordance with law.

September 14th 2018
tarun

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

CRM-M-34991 of 2018

-3-

Whether Reportable: No