

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34986 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.08.2018

Balwinder Singh @ Bhinda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Mukhwinder Singh.

Complainant Kirpal Singh in person.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present 5th petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.38 dated 25.02.2015, registered under Section 302, 307, 326, 506, 323, 324, 212, 216, 148 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station Gate Hakima, Amritsar.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Co-accused Jasbir Singh, Sahib Singh @ Sabha have been allowed bail by this Court. The conclusion of trial will take a long time. The petitioner is in custody since 25.02.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

The complainant duly identified by the Investigating Officer heard in person. The conscience of the Court is satisfied that the complainant has been purchased by the petitioner. Otherwise also, this is the fifth bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner. Considering the gravity of allegations, no case for regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

However, considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 25.02.2015, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

21.08.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No