

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-3494 of 2018
Date of Decision: March 12, 2018

Parul

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 226 dated 23.08.2017, registered under Sections 387, 506, 120-B IPC at Police Station Salhawas, Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the only role attributed to the petitioner is that he made the complainant talk with the co-accused on his mobile phone. He further submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 03.09.2017, the investigation is complete and the challan has been presented in the Court. All the evidence is in possession of the police and, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody.

Learned State counsel, on the other hand, submits that not only the petitioner is accused of making the complainant talk with the co-accused on his mobile phone, he alongwith the other co-accused visited the clinic of the complainant and issued threats for the purpose of extortion. However, it is admitted that the investigation in this case is complete.

Keeping in view the fact that all the evidence is already in possession of the police, investigation is complete in all respects and the challan has been presented in the Court and that the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed. Petitioner – Parul is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned.

March 12, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No