

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3490-2018
Date of Decision: 25th January, 2018

Jamuna Devi & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate, for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty from respondent Nos. 4 and 5 and have, therefore, highlighted this position in their representation dated 23.01.2018 (Annexure P-6) addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda-respondent No.2.

Counsel contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda-respondent No.2 and the Station House Officer, Police Station Maur Mandi, District Bathinda-respondent No.3, to look into the representation dated 23.01.2018 (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda-respondent No.2 and the Station House Officer, Police Station Maur Mandi, District Bathinda-respondent No.3, to look into the representation dated 23.01.2018 (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law, if required.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

25th January, 2018
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No