

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34817-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-07.09.2018.

Vishal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for regular bail in case FIR No.85 dated 29.04.2018, under Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act and Sections 148/149/307/323/324/452/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Uklana, District Hisar.

2.) Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner's name has not been reflected in FIR and his name has been cropped up on the disclosure statement of the co-accused. Even the co-accused has been extended the benefit of bail. The aforesaid issues have not been disputed by the learned State counsel.

3.) Heard.

4.) In view of these facts and circumstances, petitioner is entitled to the benefit of regular bail, therefore, without expressing any opinion on

the merits of the case, present petition is allowed. Petitioner-Vishal is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the entire satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned with the following conditions:-

- (i) Petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair trial.
- (ii) Petitioner shall also appear before the Station House Officer concerned on last Saturday of every month at 10.00 a.m. and mark his presence.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

September 07, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.