

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-34782 of 2018

Date of Decision: August 13, 2018

Chander Shekhar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner is aggrieved of the inaction of the official respondents despite representations dated 24.11.2017, 27.07.2018 and 30.07.2018 (Annexures P-4, P-6 and P-7) respectively having been made.

The allegation is that the petitioner entered into an agreement to sell in respect of two marlas of land with respondent No. 7 and paid a sum of Rs. 2 lacs as earnest money. Thereafter, on consultation of the revenue record, it transpired that the said land was infact part of a road and was not owned by respondent No. 7. When he demanded refund of his money, the private respondents threatened to harm him and his family members.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 6 only.

Mr. J.S. Walia, Sr. DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 6. Let seven copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to the private respondents.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 3

to objectively consider the representation dated 30.07.2018 (Annexure P-7) and

to take appropriate action thereupon in case it is felt necessary within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Meanwhile, it shall be ensured that life and liberty of the petitioner and his family is protected.

August 13, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No