

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34761-2018 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 08.10.2018**

Naveen

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, A.A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J**

Petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.161 dated 17.04.2018 registered under Sections 363, 366-A & 34 IPC and Section 10 of POCSO Act at Police Station Kundli, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 17.04.2018 and challan has been presented and the case is fixed for framing of charge. Counsel further submits that though the petitioner was named in the FIR and the allegation is that the petitioner was with Sahil, who is said to have enticed the girl. Counsel submits that the girl was recovered and she has not made any allegation of rape.

Learned State counsel states that the girl was recovered from the possession of Sahil.

Investigation is over and challan has been presented. There was no allegation of rape either against the petitioner or the main accused from whom custody, the victim was recovered.

The trial would take time. Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing surety and adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

**October 08, 2018**

*ps-I*

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No